

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/752,172	Applicant(s) SHAUGHNESSY ET AL.	
	Examiner James H. Blackwell	Art Unit 2176	

All Participants:

(1) James H. Blackwell.

(2) Shelly Beckstrand.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005
Time: 10:17 AM EDT
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
7/1/2005



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney in response to a notice of withdrawal from issue under 37 CFR 1.313(b). Claim 21 as written was not embodied on a computer readable medium. Examiner suggested changes to make Claim 21 compliant under 35 U.S.C. 101. Attorney agreed to corrections..

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
9/1/2005